

- - REMARKS - -

Applicants have amended the claims to clarify that the map is 'shown' instead of 'merely' provided. While Applicants dispute that the previous claims were ambiguous, the Examiner has expressed some concern as to Applicants language. Given the Examiner's comments on paragraph 5 of the July 23, 2007 office action, Applicants believe that the Examiner will concur that these claims are patentable over Alfieri and Liron for similar reasons as presented previously in the response filed Feb. 21, 2007 and May 7, 2007. No new matter has been entered with this amendment, support for which is found, inter alia, on page 8, lines 1-8. Applicants regret that their counsel was unable to schedule an interview with the Examiner to discuss this amendment.

Withdrawal of all rejections is requested.

SUMMARY

The rejections of the pending claims have been obviated by the above amendment and remarks. The Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1, 4-12 and 14-20 fully satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103 and 112. In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, favorable consideration and early passage to issue of the present application are respectfully requested.

Dated: **SEPTEMBER 19, 2007**

Respectfully submitted,
FRANCK BARILLAUD, *et al.*

CARDINAL LAW GROUP
Suite 2000
1603 Orrington Avenue
Evanston, Illinois 60201
Phone: (847) 905-7111
Fax: (847) 905-7113

/FRANK C. NICHOLAS/

Frank C. Nicholas
Registration No. 33,983
Attorney for Applicants